



Office: Orange County

Office: Richardson

Office: Seattle

Office: 949.202.1900

ilarish@mabr.com

Inge Larish

Attorney at Law | Shareholder

Inge Larish focuses her practice on patent litigation and has more than 20 years of national patent litigation and other intellectual property litigation experience, including International Trade Commission (ITC) investigations. She has litigated patent cases in a number of diverse technologies, including computer-aided design, software, computer architecture, signal processing, semiconductors, LEDs, digital and mechanical devices, and optics; wireless communications devices, including LTE, LTEA, CDMA, EVDO, WCDMA, 802.11 and Bluetooth; communication network architectures; electro-optic systems and devices; audio and video processing algorithms (MPEG4, H.264, MP3); GPS; and chemical compounds. Inge has experience in all stages of patent litigation, through trial and appeal, claim construction briefs and hearings, technical and expert depositions, hearings, expert reports, Daubert hearings, interlocutory appeals, preliminary injunctions including direct examination and cross-examination of witnesses, summary judgment practice including oral argument, pre- and post-trial motion practice, and appellate practice. She also has experience in trade secret and unfair competition litigation and in trademark and copyright litigation. Her national litigation experience includes trial and lead counsel experience.

Inge has a master's in engineering from the University of Illinois. Her experience also includes programming in C and Pascal. She is a former NASA fellow for display research and design. Inge was the articles editor of the University of Illinois Law Review and a member of the Law Review from 1992 to 1994. She is a recipient of the University of Illinois Rickerts Award for Excellence in Legal Writing and of AmJur awards in property, civil litigation, and torts. She received honors in moot court and high honors in legal writing. Prior to law school, Inge earned a NASA postbaccalaureate research fellowship and a graduate student award from the Society for Information Display for her research on heads-up displays in aviation. She was a law clerk to the Honorable Paul Michel (ret.) of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Education

- J.D., University of Illinois College of Law, 1994
 - *magna cum laude*
- M.A., Engineering/Psychology, University of Illinois, 1991
- B.S., University of Illinois, 1988

Practice Focus

- Patent Litigation
- International Trade Commissions (ITC) Investigations

Professional Admissions & Associations

- Washington State Bar
- California State Bar
- Texas State Bar
- Illinois State Bar (inactive)
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of California
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of California
- U.S. District Court, Western District of Washington
- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas
- Western District of Michigan
- ITC
- American Intellectual Property Law Association
- Wallace American Inn of Court

Representative Matters

- In the Matter of Certain Wireless Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Tablets II, Inv. No.337-TA-905 Case lead for Liability and Tech. D.I. in representation of Respondent ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc. in patent litigation before the International Trade Commission. Hearings, discovery, depositions, claim construction, case management and strategy, expert reports.
- In the Matter of Certain Electronic Devices, Inv. No. 337-TA-850 Successfully represented Respondent ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc. in patent litigation before the International Trade Commission through hearing and post-hearing briefing – case lead for Liability, case management and strategy, depositions, pre- and post-trial/hearing motions and briefing, witness
- VStream Technologies LLC v. LG et al., Civil Action No. 6:14-cv-00296 (E.D. Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation. First-chair hearings, discovery, claim construction, case management, mediation.
- Personal Audio v. Acer et al., Civil Action No. 6:14-cv-00008 (E.D. Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation; first-chair claim construction hearing, hearings, discovery, claim construction, case management.
- Adaptix v. ZTE Corp. , ZTE (USA) Inc., Boost Mobile LLC, et al., Civil Action Nos. 5:15-cv-165 (N.D. Cal.); 6:14-cv-443 (E.D.Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Obtained transfer to N.D. Cal. from E.D. Tex. Discovery, Markman claim construction, firstchair hearings in Northern District of California, discovery, claim construction, case management and strategy.
- Adaptix v. ZTE Corp., ZTE (USA) Inc., Sprint Spectrum LP, et al., Civil Action Nos. 5:15-cv-166, (N.D. Cal.); 6:14-cv-444 (E.D.Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Obtained transfer to N.D. Cal. from E.D. Tex. Discovery, Markman claim construction, firstchair hearings in Northern District of California, case management and strategy.
- Adaptix v. ZTE Corp., ZTE (USA) Inc., T-Mobile USA, Inc., et al., Civil Action Nos. 5:15-cv-167 (N.D. Cal.); 6:14-cv-445 (E.D.Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Obtained transfer to N.D. Cal. from E.D. Tex. Discovery, Markman claim construction, firstchair hearings in Northern District of California, case management and strategy.
- Adaptix v. ZTE Corp., ZTE (USA) Inc., U.S. Cellular Corp., et al., Civil Action Nos. 5:15-cv-168 (N.D. Cal.); 6:14-cv-446 (E.D.Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Obtained transfer to N.D. Cal. from E.D. Tex. Discovery, Markman claim construction, firstchair hearings in Northern District of California, case management and strategy.

- Novatel Wireless, Inc. v. ZTE Corp. et al., 3:12-cv-02576-CAB-JMA (S.D. Cal.) Representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Co-first-chair claim construction hearing, depositions, case management and strategy, expert reports.
- Super Interconnect Technologies LLC v. ZTE (USA) Inc., Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-740-KNM (E.D. Tex.) Case lead – representation of ZTE in patent litigation.
- Cellular Communications Equipment v. ZTE Corp. et al., Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-511-LED (E.D. Tex.) Representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Hearings, discovery, claim construction, case management.
- SPH America LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al., Civil Action No. 3:13-cv-2326 (S.D. Cal.) Representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Hearings, discovery, claim construction, case management.
- Cellular Communications Equipment v. HTC Corp. et al., Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-507-LED (E.D. Tex.) Representation of HTC in patent litigation. First-chair hearings, discovery, claim construction, case management.
- Cellular Network and Selection LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al., Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-403 (E.D. Tex.) Representation of ZTE in patent litigation. Discovery, claim construction, case management.
- Hybrid Audio LLC v. HTC et al., Civil Action No. 6:11-cv-195-LED (E.D. Tex.) Case lead – represented HTC in patent litigation, first-chair hearings, discovery, claim construction, case management, expert reports.
- Wi-Lan, Inc. v. Research in Motion et al., Civil Action No. 2:08-CV-247- TJW (E.D. Tex.) Represented PCD in patent litigation.
- Wi-Lan, Inc. v. Alcatel-Lucent USA, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 6:10-CV- 521-LED (E.D. Tex.) Represented HTC America, Inc., in patent litigation.
- Synergy Tech & Design Inc. d/b/a Road Armor v. Jim Terry et al., Civil Action No. CV-06-02073 (JSW) (N.D. Cal.) Trial and counsel representing Synergy Tech in copyright, trademark, trade secret and breach of contract matter, including successful defense of counterclaims and motion for disqualification. First-chair hearings in Northern District of California. Successful settlement at mediation prior to trial.
- EInstruction v. Qwizdom, Civil Action No. CV-05-0470LED, (E.D. Tex.) Lead counsel representing Qwizdom in patent litigation on defense of infrared remote feedback used in interactive software for classroom technology. First-chair hearings in Eastern District of Texas, successful settlement.
- Grand Slam Club v. Int'l Sheep Hunters Assoc., Inc., Civil Action No. CV-06-4643-VEH (N.D. Ala.) Trial and lead counsel representing Grand Slam Club. Successfully obtained preliminary injunction for trademark infringement after two-day evidentiary hearing; trial/first-chair hearings including preliminary injunction.

- *Modular Arts, Inc. v. TVSA et al.*, CV-06-00662-JLR (W.D. Wash.) Trial and lead counsel representing Modular Arts for copyright infringement. Successful settlement for maximum award for copyright infringement.
- *Kershaw v. Buck*, Civil Action No. CV-05-0446HA, (D. Or.) Trial and lead counsel representing Kershaw in patent litigation on knife technology, including briefing and first-chair hearing on claim construction to obtain favorable ruling on all argued claims for Kershaw.
- *The Topline Corp. v. Dynasty Footwear et al.*, Civil Action No. CV-06- 1126-JLR (W.D. Wash.) Represented Topline in patent infringement on process for electrostatic fiber shoe outsole technology.
- *Seattle Pacific Indus, Inc. v. Melmarc Prod., Inc.*, CV-06-9834-RSM (W.D. Wash.) Lead counsel representing Seattle Pacific Industries for contract dispute over trademark license. Successful settlement at mediation.
- *Telegenix v. Texas Digital*, Appeal No. 02-1032 (Fed. Cir.) Drafted appellate briefing for Texas Digital on appeal of matter to Federal Circuit in seminal case on claim construction; motion practice in Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.
- *Texas Digital v. Telegenix*, Civil Action No. 3:98-CV-1537-R (N.D. Tex.) Trial counsel in successful four-week patent litigation trial on four digital display patents resulting in judgment for Texas Digital for \$15 million. Motion practice, hearings, expert reports, depositions and all briefing, including summary judgment briefing; Daubert hearing and pre- and post-trial briefing.
- *Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Hyundai, Electronics Indus. Co., Ltd., Hyundai Electronics America, Inc., and Hyundai Semiconductor America, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:98 CV 73, 74 Represented Texas Instruments as part of a core team of attorneys in a series of 13 patent infringement actions growing out of the expiration of Hyundai's license under TI patents, including patents for memory and ESD circuits (see 42 F. Supp. 2d 660 (E.D. Tex. 1999) and 49 F. Supp. 2d 893 (E.D. Tex. 1999)). In the first of the cases to be tried, the jury awarded TI \$25 million plus willfulness damages. TI then moved for an injunction. The case settled before the judge ruled on the injunction issue with Hyundai taking a nonexclusive license and committing to royalty payments in excess of \$1 billion.
- *Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Samsung*, Civil Action Nos. 2:96-CV-1 and 2:96-CV-2 (E.D. Tex.) Represented Texas Instruments as part of a core team of attorneys in patent infringement cases against various Samsung products made by processes that infringed TI semiconductor patents, resulting in Samsung's agreement to pay TI in excess of \$1 billion in royalties over 10-year period.
- *Perma-Chink v. Nisus (In re Perma-Chink)* Appeal No. 2004-M768 (Fed. Cir.) Represented Perma-Chink in a 1292(b) interlocutory appeal to Federal Circuit Court of Appeals; Federal Circuit

granted Petition for interlocutory appeal on issues relating to assignor estoppel.

- Southern Audio Services v. JBL, Appeal No. 00-1085, 2000 WL 34003538 (Fed. Cir.) Drafted successful appellate brief for JBL to Federal Circuit Court of Appeals; court affirmed summary judgment on Rule 36 summary disposition.
- JBL v. Southern Audio Services, Civil Action No. 96-58-B-M2 (M.D. La.) Defended JBL against claims of infringement of a patent related to speaker design, drafted winning summary judgment brief in district court.
- Hewlett-Packard v. Intergraph Hardware Technologies Co, Intergraph Corp. v. Dell Computer Corp., Civil Action No. 2:02CV 312 (E.D. Tex.); Civil Action No. 3:03-cv-02517-MJJ (N.D. Cal.) Represented Intergraph as part of core team of attorneys in patent infringement suits on patents relating to computer systems and cache memory coherency.